

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH  
TECHNOLOGY****PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF ROTAVATOR BASED ON DIFFERENT SOIL  
MOISTURE CONTENT****Ramesh Pal\*, Sachin Chaudhary, Ritesh mishra, Sunny Bhatia and Ankur Singh Bist**Department of Agriculture Sciences and Engineering IFTM University Lodhipur Rajput, Delhi Road,  
Moradabad - 244102, (U.P) India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.60746

---

**ABSTRACT**

Rotary tillage implements are now being projected as important equipment that results in production of fine tilth soil. Preparation of an optimum seedbed condition by minimizing the time, cost and energy requirements has assumed considerable significance for the paddy-wheat farming system widely practiced in northern part of India. In order to study the effect of various parameters on the performance under actual field conditions, a rotary tiller was selected. Moisture content (11.27%, 17.04 % and 22.87%) was recorded in the different field conditions. The rear shield position was adjusted at full down, full up and middle positions and three different Forward speeds of the tractor 2.5 km/hr, 3.0 km/hr and 3.5 km/hr and three types depth of cut 5cm, 8cm and 12cm. were used for the study. The study was undertaken to examine the influence of Forward speed, depth of cut at different moisture content fields with dependent parameters such as draft, fuel consumption, power consumption, field efficiency, and residue incorporation by rotavator. In this paper the results indicated that as the forward speed, shield position full down and depth of cut increases, the value of draft, fuel consumption, power consumption also increases

**KEYWORDS:** Forward speed, Rotavator, Shield position and Moisture content.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Rotavator is a tillage machine which is used in soil bed preparation and weed control in arable field and fruit gardening agriculture. It has a huge capacity for cutting mixing to top soil preparing the seed bed directly and also it has more mixing capacity seven times than a plough. Its components work under miscellany our forces because of power vibration pointless impact effect of soil parts as after reaching to higher etc. The rotator tillage is a tillage machine designed for preparing land suitable for sowing seed for eradicating weeds mixing for seed and fertilizer in to soil to up and renovate pastures for crushing clods etc.

The rotator will produce a perfect seedbed in fewer passes. It is the ideal implement for cash crop farmers who need to bury and in corporate crop residues quickly between crope. Tillage tools direct energy into the soil to cause some desired effect such as cutting breaking. A gardening rotator is a compact machine which can be used on any land size but is more appropriate for gardening. The rotator can be easily adjusted for various working depts. for soil bed preparation.

Approximately 20 percent of energy for production agriculture is used for field operations, with a majority of this energy applied toward tillage operations (Stout *et al.*, 1984). All most all the conventional tillage implements are passive tillage implements for soil- implement interface. The power for these implements is supplied by the tractor through soil-type interface. Only about 40-56 percent of net engine power is available at the drawbar of a tractor when transmitting power through the soil-type interface, whereas it is about 80-85 percent for PTO driven active tillage tools (Taylor and Burt, 1975). Poor transmission efficiency, mainly due to high wheel slippage at the soil-tyre interface, reduces the tillage efficiency of the implements. Conventional tillage is an energy intensive method and there is a need for implements, which should ensure timeliness of field operations, besides being cost and energy effective. Transmission of power to the soil engaging tools through PTO of tractor reduces inefficiency of soil-tyre

interface. The PTO driven tools transmit power directly to the soil rather than being pulled through it. These implements are more effective in soil pulverization, cutting ability and require less draft. The soils with moderate hardness can be prepared more rapidly by rotary powered implements. These implements can play an important role in multiple cropping systems where time is the main constraint for land preparation. Rotavator tools obtain their energy in more than one manner, reduce the draft requirement and have greater versatility in manipulating the soil to obtain the desired result. Thus, rotavator also reduces the time required to get an optimum seedbed by combining the primary and secondary tillage operation.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was under taken to evaluate the performance of rotavator and to optimize the forward and rotational speed of rotavator in three different fields of Agronomy Research Center IFTM University Moradabad. A Sonalika make rotavator was evaluated for its performance in Deferent fields. And different parameters like fuel consumption, draft measurement, power consumption, field capacity, residues incorporation, clod weight mean diameter, were measured to assess the suitability of rotavator in India.

### Fuel Consumption

The tank was filled to full capacity before and after the test. A mount of refueling after the test was the fuel consumption for the test. While filling up the tank, careful attention should be paid to keep the tank horizontal and not to leave empty space in the tank. The fuel consumption will give an idea of energy requirement by the implement for the operation.

### Draft Measured

Draft can be measured by two tractors and a dynamometer. An implement was mounted on a tractor (A) and this tractor was towed by another tractor (B) through a dynamometer as shown in fig. measure the draft when the tractor a was in neutral gear condition but implement in operating condition and again which the implement was in lifted position. The difference between two readings gives the draft requirement of implement.

Draft of implement = pull required at load condition – pull required at no load condition

### Power Consumption

Draft power requirement for the operation of implement can be calculated by the following Formula for calculation:

$$PS = \frac{2\pi NT}{4500}$$

Where,

PS = Pto horse Power

T = Torque (Kgf.m)

N = Revolution (rev/min)

### Residue Incorporation

The residue incorporation is the process through which furrow slice is inverted. The residues incorporation characteristics can be measured by weed count method. In this method the number of weed, stubble present were counted before and after operation with the help of placing a square ring of 30 x 30cm or 50 x 50 cm at random in the field and the maximum five location. The residue incorporation is expressed on percentage basis.

$$\text{Residue incorporation (\%)} = \frac{WB-WA}{WB} \times 100$$

Where,

WB = Number of weed present per unit area before the operation.

WA = Number of weed present per unit area after the operation.

### Effective field capacity

The actual output in terms of area covered per hour is expressed as the effective field capacity. In calculating the effective field capacity. The time consumed for real work and that lost for other activities such as turning. Adjustment etc. should be taken. Time for refueling should be deleted because usually filling up before starting the test can make refueling unnecessary except for especially large field. It can be calculated as:

$$E_e = \frac{A}{T_p + T_n}$$

Where

$E_e$  = Effective field capacity (ha/h)

A = Area covered (ha)

$T_p$  = Productive time (h)

$T_n$  = non productive time (h)

(Non productive time is the time lost for turning and adjustment etc. excluding refueling and machine trouble)

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The field experiment was carried out in the sandy clay loam soil at IFTM University, Lodhipur, Rajput, Moradabad U.P. during the year 2015- 2016. The study was undertaken to examine the influence of Moisture content, forward speed of the tractor, Shield position of rotavator and depth of cut on different parameters of rotavator. During the experiment the performance of rotavator at the three Moisture content fields (1.2, 1 ha) and (1.3 ha) respectively were selected. The results obtained during the course of study were analyzed statistically by using the three factorial designs (RBD). The statically analysis (ANOVA) is given different tables indicated that different field, Forward Speed of Tractor and depth of cut have significant effect on the draft, fuel consumption, power consumption, mean mass diameter, field capacity, field efficiency, and residue incorporation at 5% level of significance

### **Table 1- Effect of forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on different parameters of rotavator.**

Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on the draft requirement by rotavator Table 1 presents the draft required at different Forward speed and depth of cut. The effect of Forward speed and depth of cut on draft is shown in 4.1. The maximum draft in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 169.9 kgf at a forward speed 2.5 Km/hr, depth of cut 12cm, Shield position is down and the minimum draft in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 140 kgf at forward speed of 2.5 km/hr, depth of cut 5 cm. and Shield position is up. The maximum draft for rotavator in Moisture content (17.04) was found to be 165 kgf at the respective forward speed, depth of cut and Shield position 3.0km/hr, 12cm and Shield position is down position. The minimum draft of 150 kgf was attained at a forward speed of 2.5 km/hr, depth of cut of 5cm and Shield position is up. The maximum draft Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 175 kgf at a Forward speed of 3.5km, depth of cut 12 cm. and Shield position is down. The minimum draft in Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 163 kgf at forward speed of 3.5km/hr, depth of cut 5 cm and Shield position down

**Table 1- Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on the draft under different moisture content.**

Experiment no.	Forward Speed (km/hr)	Shield position	Depth of cut (cm)	Moisture content (%)	Average value of Draft (kgf)
1	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	11.27	140
2	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		144
3	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		153
4	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		145
5	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		147
6	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		154
7	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		156
8	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		161
9	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		169
10	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	17.04	150
11	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		153
12	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		156
13	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		155
14	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		159
15	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		160
16	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		157
17	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		159
18	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		165
19	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	22.87	165
20	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		169
21	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		173
22	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		166
23	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		169
24	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		174
25	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		163
26	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		166
27	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		175

Where:

F <sub>1</sub> = 2.5 km/hr	S <sub>1</sub> = Shield position up	D <sub>1</sub> = 5cm
F <sub>2</sub> = 3.0 km/hr	S <sub>2</sub> = Shield position middle	D <sub>2</sub> = 8cm
F <sub>3</sub> = 3.5 km/hr	S <sub>3</sub> = Shield position down	D <sub>3</sub> = 12cm

**Table 2- Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on the fuel consumption by rotavator**

Table 2 presents the fuel consumption at different Forward speed and depth of cut. The effect of Forward speed and depth of cut on fuel consumption is shown in 4.2. The maximum fuel consumption in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 5.8 l/h. at a forward speed 2.5 Km/hr, depth of cut 12cm, Shield position is up and the minimum fuel consumption in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 5.0 l/h at forward speed of 2.5 km/hr, depth of cut 12cm. and Shield position is up. The maximum fuel consumption for rotavator in Moisture content (17.04) was found to be 6.9 l/h at the respective forward speed, depth of cut and Shield position 3.0km/hr, 12cm and Shield position is down position. The minimum fuel consumption of 6.2l/h. was attained at a forward speed of 3.0 km/hr, depth of cut of 5cm

and Shield position is up .The maximum fuel consumption Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 7.1 l/h at a Forward speed of 3.5km, depth of cut 12 cm. and Shield position is down. The minimum fuel consumption Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 6.6 l/h at forward speed of 3.5km/hr, depth of cut 5 cm and Shield position down



*Figure1: The Measurement of Actual Working Width of Rotavator*

*Table 2- Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on the fuel consumption by rotavator*

Experiment no.	Forward Speed (km/hr)	Shield position	Depth of cut (cm)	Moisture content (%)	Average value of Fuel Consumption(l/h)
1	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	11.27	5.5
2	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		5.7
3	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		5.8
4	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		5.4
5	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		5.5
6	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		5.7
7	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		5.3
8	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		5.2
9	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		5.0
10	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	17.04	6.2
11	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		6.6
12	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		6.8
13	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		6.3
14	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		6.4
15	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		6.6
16	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		6.6
17	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		6.8
18	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		6.9
19	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	22.87	6.9
20	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		7.1
21	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		7.2
22	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		6.7
23	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		6.9
24	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		7.0
25	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		6.6
26	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		6.8
27	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		7.1



Where:

F <sub>1</sub> = 2.5 km/hr	S <sub>1</sub> = Shield position up	D <sub>1</sub> = 5cm
F <sub>2</sub> = 3.0 km/hr	S <sub>2</sub> = Shield position middle	D <sub>2</sub> = 8cm
F <sub>3</sub> = 3.5 km/hr	S <sub>3</sub> = Shield position down	D <sub>3</sub> = 12cm

**Table 3 Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on mean mass diameter, (mm) under different moisture content.**

Table 4.3 presents the mean mass diameter at different Forward speed and depth of cut. The effect of Forward speed and depth of cut on mean mass diameter is shown in 4.3. The maximum mean mass diameter in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 2.5 mm. at a forward speed 2.5 Km/hr, depth of cut 5cm, Shield position is up and the minimum mean mass diameter in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 1.6 mm at forward speed of 2.5 km/hr, depth of cut 12cm. and Shield position is down. The maximum mean mass diameter for rotavator in Moisture content (17.04) was found to be 2.5 mm at the respective forward speed, depth of cut and Shield position 3.0km/hr, 5cm and Shield position is up position. The minimum mean mass diameter of 1.2 mm. was attained at a forward speed of 3.0 km/hr, depth of cut of 12cm and Shield position is middle. The maximum mean mass diameter (mm) Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 2.7mm at a Forward speed of 3.5km, depth of cut 5 cm. and Shield position is up. The minimum mean mass diameter (mm) Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 1.3 mm at forward speed of 3.5km/hr, depth of cut 12 cm and Shield position down as shown in 4.3. The statically analysis (ANOVA) is given Table A3 indicated that Moisture content (11.27, 17.04 and 22.87), forward speed, depth of cut and Shield position have significant effect on the mean mass diameter at 6% level of significance. In general it was found as the forward speed increases mean mass diameter decreases. The increases in depth of cut were also found to cause in decreases the mean mass diameter requirement by the rotavator.



**Figure 2: A View of Tractor Operated Rotavator**

**Table 3 Effect of Forward speed, shield position and depth of cut on mean mass diameter, (mm) under different moisture content.**

Experiment no.	Forward Speed (km/hr)	Shield position	Depth of cut (cm)	Moisture content (%)	Average value of Mean Mass Diameter, (mm)
1	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	11.27	2.5
2	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		2.4
3	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		2.4
4	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		2.0
5	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		2.1
6	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		2.0
7	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		1.8
8	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		1.7
9	F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		1.6
10	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		17.04
11	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	2.4	
12	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	2.3	
13	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	1.5	
14	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	1.4	
15	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	1.2	
16	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	1.8	
17	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	1.7	
18	F <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	1.7	
19	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	22.87	2.7
20	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		2.6
21	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		2.4
22	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		1.7
23	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		1.7
24	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		1.6
25	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>		1.5
26	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>		1.4
27	F <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>		1.3

Where:

F <sub>1</sub> = 2.5 km/hr	S <sub>1</sub> = Shield position up	D <sub>1</sub> = 5cm
F <sub>2</sub> = 3.0 km/hr	S <sub>2</sub> = Shield position middle	D <sub>2</sub> = 8cm
F <sub>3</sub> = 3.5 km/hr	S <sub>3</sub> = Shield position down	D <sub>3</sub> = 12cm

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

1. It was found as the forward speed increases draft increases. The increase in depth of cut was also found to cause in increasing the draft. The maximum draft in Moisture content (22.87) was found 174 kgf at forward speed 3.5 km/h. of 3.5 and depth of cut 12 cm and the minimum draft in Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 163kgf at forward speed 3.5km/h. and depth of cut 5 cm. The maximum draft for rotavator in Moisture content (17.04) was found to be 165kgf at the respective forward speed 3.0 km/h, depth of cut 12 and shield position is down. The minimum draft of 140 kgf was attained at a forward speed 2.5km, depth of cut of 5cm and shield position is up. The maximum draft in Moisture content (22.87) was found to be 175 kgf at forward speed 3.5km/h. and depth of cut 12 cm. The minimum draft in Moisture content (11.27) was found to be 140 kgf at forward speed 2.5Km/h & depth of cut 5 cm.

2. It was observed that as depth increases the fuel consumption during operation was increases. The maximum fuel consumption for Moisture content (11.27), Moisture content (17.04) and Moisture content (22.87) field were found to be 5.80 l/h. at forward speed 2.5km/h, depth of cut 12 cm shield position up, 6.90 l/h. at forward speed 3.5km/h, depth of cut 12 cm shield position down. And 7.20 l/h. forward speed 3.5km/h, depth of cut 12 cm shield position down respectively. For the same deferent moisture content field the minimum fuel consumption was found to be 5.00 l/h at forward speed 2.5km/h, depth 12 cm and shield position is down, 6.3 l/h at forward speed 3.00km/h, depth 5 cm and shield position meddle. 6.60 l/h at forward speed 3.5 km/h, depth 5 cm and shield position down respectively.

3. The maximum mean weight diameter for Moisture content (11.27), Moisture content (17.04) and Moisture content (22.87) field were found to be 2.5 mm at forward speed 2.5km/h, depth of cut 8 cm and shield position is up. 2.5 mm at forward speed 2.5km/h, depth of cut 5cm and shield position is up respectively.

For the same Moisture content field orchards the minimum Mean Mass Diameter mm were found to be 1.6 mm at forward speed 2.5km/h, depth of cut 12cm and shield position is down respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] **A Sharda and Dr S Singh, 2004.** Effect of Selected Parameters on Field Performance of Rotary Tiller. IE (I) Journal.AG, Vol 85.
- [2] **Ahmet Celi A. Celik, I. Ozturk and T. R. Way, 2008.** “A Theoretical Approach for Determining the Irregularity of Soil Tillage Depth Caused by Horizontal Axis Rotary Tillers”. Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript PM 08 003. Vol. X.
- [3] **B. Cakmak, e. Aykas, i. Onal and e. Cakir, 2010.** The performance of developed rotary tiller fitted with pneumatic seeder. *Bulgarian journal of agricultural science*, 16 (no 6): 801-810.
- [4] **Balla, J. 1987.** Specific features of the abrasive wear of carbon steel. *Zemedelska Technika*, **33(8)**: 501-509.
- [5] **Bernacki, H., J. Haman and cz. Kanafoski. 1963.** *Agricultural machines, theory and construction*. US Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.
- [6] **Bukhari, K. H., S. Bukhari, M.M. Leghari and M. S. Memon. 1996.** The effect of speed and rear shield on the performance of rotary tiller. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America* 27 (2):9-14.
- [7] **C.S. Sahay , E.V. Thomas and K.K. Satapathy, 2009.** Performance evaluation of a novel power-tiller-operated oscillatory tillage implement for dry land tillage. *bio systems engineering*, 102 :385 – 391
- [8] **Deshmukh, and Singh, M. P. 2000,** Studies on wear pattern of local and imported L-shaped blade of rotavator. M.Tech. Thesis, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, pantnagar
- [9] **Hemad Zareiforoush et al., 2010.** Rotary Tiller Design Proportional to a Power Tiller using Specific Work Method (SWM). *Nature and Science* 8(9).
- [10] **Kepner, R.A.; Bainer; Roy and Barger, E.L. 1987.** Principles of farm Machinery. 3rd ed. New Delhi, C.B.S. Publishers and Distributers. 527 p.
- [11] **Khrushov, M.M. 1957.** Resistance of metals of wear by Abrasion as related to hardness. *In: Inst. Mech. Eng. Conf. on Lubrication and Wear*. October, Paper NO. 46: Proceeding. Pp 655
- [12] **Kurchania, A.K. 1997.** Abrasive wear of cultivator shovels as affected by soil, tool and operating parameters. Thesis, M.Tech., G.B.P.U.A.T., pantnagar(U.K.)
- [13] **Kurchania, A.K. 1997.** Abrasive wear of cultivator shovels as affected by soil, tool and operating parameters. Thesis, M.Tech., G.B.P.U.A.T. pantnagar(U.K.)
- [14] **Kushwaha, R.L. and Hua, P. 1992.** Wear characteristics of regular and hard surfaced of cultivators sweeps.
- [15] **Kushwaha, R.L. and Shi, J. 1991.** Investigation of wear of agricultural tillage tools. *J.STLE*, 47(3): 219-22.
- [16] **Kuz'min, V.I. 1958.** Some problems in the economy in metal content and increase in the operational reliability of rotary swamp tillers. *Sel'Khoz mashina*, No.8.
- [17] **Lok V.M. Sahe and N. Ramalingamet, 2001.** Effect of direction of rotation of a rotary tiller on properties Bangkok clay soil. *Soil and Tillage Research* ,63: 65-74.



- [18] Mohsenin, N.; Womocchell, H.L.; Harvey, D.J. and Carleton, M.M. 1956. Wear tests of Plough Share materials. *Agric. Engg.*, 37:816-820.
- [19] Moore, M.A. 1975. The abrasive wear resistance of surface coating. *J. Agric. Engg.*, 20: 167-170.
- [20] Nathan, G. K. and Jones, W.Z.D. 1966. The imperial relationship between abrasive wear and the applied conditions. *Wear*, 9:300-309.
- [21] Nichols, M.L. and Dover, R.D. 1934. Dynamics of soil on plough mould board surfaces related to scouring. *Agril. Engg.* 15(1): 9-13
- [22] Owsiak, Z. 1997. Wear of symmetrical wedge-shaped tillage tools. *Soil and Tillage Research.* 43(3-4): 295-308.
- [23] Rabinowicz, E.; Dunn, L.A. and Russel, P.G. 1961. A study of abrasive wear under three-body condition. *Wear*, 4:345-355.
- [24] Raval, A.H. and Kaushal, O.P. 1990. Wear and Tear of Hard- Surfaced Cultivator Shovel. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*, 21 (2): 46-63.
- [25] Reed, I. F. and Gordan, E.D. 1951. Determining the relative wear resistance of metals. *Agril. Engg.*, 32:92-103.
- [26] Reed, I.F. and McCreary, W.F. 1954. Effect of methods of manufacture and steel specification on the service of discs. *Agri. Engg.*, 35: 91-97.
- [27] Richardson, R.C.D. 1967(b). The wear of metallic materials by soil practical Phenomenon. *J. Agril. Engg. Res.* 12(1): 22-39
- [28] Salokhe, V.M., Hanif Miah. M., Hoki, M 1993 Effect of blade type on power requirement and puddling quality of a rotavator wet clay soil. Department of bioproduction and machinery, Mie University, Japan
- [29] Singh, C.P. 1972. High stress abrasions wear effect of load and speed. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering, ISAE*, 9(2): 14-21.
- [30] Sinha, J.P. and Ram, R.B. 1998. Performance of tillage tools energy on energy basis. IE (I). *Journal-AG.* 78(1): 38-40.